(3)
CF4001

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 2763/2003

PETITIONERS : 1) Hemant Sen, aged about 34 years
S/O Sitaram Sen,
Waterman, Govt. Ayurved
Hospital, Village Chattera
Block & Tahsil Arang,
District - Raipur (C.G.)

2) Sonchand Sahu, aged about 36 years
S/O Santosh Sahu,
Waterman, Govt. Ayurved
Hospital, Village Chandkhuri
Block & Tahsil - Arang
Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

3) Narayan Yadav, aged about 34 years
S/O Mohtu Yadav,
Waterman, Govt. Ayurved Hospital
Village Tenduwa, Block & Tahsil
Dharsinwa, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

4) Naresh Kumar Verma, aged 42 years
S/O Bhuneshwar Verma,
Waterman, Govt. Ayurved Hospital
Village - Akoli (Mandhar)
Block & Tahsil - Dharsinwa
District- Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 2607/03
Presented by Shri Vinod Lal Chandani
dated 01/08/03

RECEIVED COPY.
1.9.03
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

शपथ आयुक्त
27/8/03

VERSUS

to

Contd...2

RESPONDENTS : 1) State of Chhattisgarh
Through : Secretary
Ministry of Public Health
Department, D.K.S. Bhawan
Raipur (C.G.)

2) Chief Executive Officer
Janpad Panchayat, Dharsinwa
District Raipur (C.G.)

3) Chief Executive Officer
Janpad Panchayat Arang
District Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(33)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

W. P. No. 2763 OF 2003

Petitioners : HEMANT SEN & OTHERS

Versus

Respondents : STATE OF CHHATTISGARH & ORS

Shri Hemant Gupta, counsel for the petitioners.

Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, panel lawyer for State/respondent No.1.

Shri Rajesh Pandey, counsel for respondents No. 2 and 3.

ORAL ORDER

(17th February, 2006)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioner No.1 was appointed as Waterman, in Govt. Ayurved Hospital, Village Chattera, Block and Tahsil Arang, District Raipur, petitioner No.2 was appointed as Waterman, in Govt. Ayurved Hospital, Village Chandkhuri, Block & Tahsil Arang, District Raipur, petitioner No.3 was appointed as Waterman in Govt. Ayurved Hospital, Village Tenduwa, Block and Tehsil Dharsinwa, District Raipur and the petitioner No.4 was appointed as Waterman in Govt. Ayurved Hospital, Village Akoli (Mandhar) Block and Tahsil Dharsinwa, District Raipur.

2. According to the petitioners, said Ayurved Hospitals have been taken over by the Government and the petitioners were also absorbed in the Government services. The petitioners were not granted the pay scale which was payable to the Watermen in the Department of State Government.

(34)

3. The petitioner has filed this petition praying as under:

"That, the respondents may be directed to consider the salary of the petitioners as per sanctioned and prescribed by the government."

4. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection, if the prayer as stated above is granted.

5. Accordingly the petition is allowed and the respondents are directed to consider the case of the petitioners in accordance with law, for grant of salary. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge